Interview Summary)
EDS
01/26/2008
OKAY

REMARKS

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner DeJong for extending the courtesy of a telephonic interview on September 17, 2007, with Applicant's representative Ray Akhavan. The Examiner's suggestions and comments were very helpful. In addition, Applicant thanks Examiner DeJong for withdrawal of certain rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed May 18, 2006.

Claims 36-55 are pending in this application. Claims 36-43 were previously pending, and new claims 44-55 are submitted herewith. The new claims do no present any new matter and are supported in the specification, such as in paragraphs 0018, 0023, 0040, 00047,0050-0090, 0233 (claims 44-48 and 52-55), Figure 2, paragraphs 0040, 0050, and 0233 (claim 49), paragraph 0022 (claim 50), paragraph 0232 (claim 51) which were subject to examination and the rejections set forth in the Office Action. It is earnestly believed that the claims are in a condition for allowance.

I.   Rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph

Claims 40-43 were rejected as being vague and indefinite. It is believed that the grounds for the rejection are rendered moot in view of the instant amendments. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

II.   Rejection under 35 USC § 101

Claims 40-43 were rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. It is believed that the grounds for the rejection are rendered moot in view of the instant amendments. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

III.   Rejections under 35 USC §102

Claims 36-43 were rejected as being anticipated by US Patent 6,042,548 (Giuffre). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The claims are directed to systems and methods requiring a sensor, a simulator and where the sensor is reconfigurable by the simulator. It is asserted that Guiffre discloses a simulation using a signal and a model, where a sensor is reconfigured by a simulator (citing Fig. 3 and col. 4, lines 6-60 and col. 6, lines 53-59). However, in reviewing the reference, it is apparent that the reference discloses that data from a cardiovascular monitor is utilized to create a simulated brain monitor means signal which it compares with an actual brain monitor means signal, and using a series of comparator

- 5 -